

Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 Amendment - 2 Arrawarra Road, Mullaway Proposal Title : Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 Amendment - 2 Arrawarra Road, Mullaway Proposal Summary : This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 by: 1. Rezoning Lot 1, DP 417132, 2 Arrawarra Road, Mullaway from part RU2 Rural Landscape and part RE1 Public Recreation to R2 Low Density Residential; and 2. Altering the minimum lot size for the subject land to 400m2. **PP Number**: PP_2014_COFFS_001_00 Dop File No : 14/16298 **Proposal Details** LGA covered : Date Planning 25-Sep-2014 Coffs Harbour Proposal Received : RPA: **Coffs Harbour City Council** Region : Northern Section of the Act : **COFFS HARBOUR** 55 - Planning Proposal State Electorate : LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 2 Arrawarra Road Postcode : Suburb : City: 2456 Mullaway Land Parcel : Lot 1, DP 417132 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : Jon Stone Contact Number : 0267019688 jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov.au Contact Email : **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : Grahame Fry Contact Number : 0266484654 Contact Email : grahame.fry@chcc.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number :

and Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Mid North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	3.11	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	22
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	communications and meetings Region's knowledge. The Nort this proposal, nor has the Nor	nd Environment's Code of Prac s with lobbyists has been comp hern Region has not met with a thern Region been advised of a byists concerning the proposal	lied with to the best of the ny lobbyists in relation to ny meeting between other
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	developed for residential purp Mullaway to the east that is zo north and west by land manag	the subject land (3.112ha) to po oses. The subject land adjoins ned R2 Low Density Residentia ed by the NPWS that is zoned F To the south is Mullaway Road	the existing village of I. The land is bounded to RE1 Public Recreation and E2
	however, it is not clear until la minimum lot size of the land.	Proposal clearly show the inten- ter in the document that it also It is recommended that, if supp e site is proposed to be rezone	intends to amend the orted, the Planning Proposal
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmer	n njectives - s55(2)(a)		
	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :		d outcomes of the Planning Pro	oposal are adequately expressed
	-	nt to Coffs Harbour LEP 2013.	are arequitely expressed
	It is recommended that, if s	upported the Planning Proposa	I be amended to make it clear

		rrawarra Road, Mullaway
Explanation of provisio	ns provided - s5	5(2)(b)
Is an explanation of provision	ons provided? Yes	
	objectives and inten Planning Proposal b	al provides a explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the ded outcome. However, it is recommended that, if supported the e amended to make it clearer that the subject land will rezoned and to its minimum lot size.
Justification - s55 (2)(c		
a) Has Council's strategy be	een agreed to by the I	Director General? Yes
b) S.117 directions identifie	-	1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Director Ge		1.5 Rural Lands
		 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Is the Director General's	agreement required?	Yes
c) Consistent with Standard	Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the F	RPA identified?	SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 North Coast REP 1988
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	Mid North Coast Re Council's local sett	egional Strategy; dement strategy 'Our Living City Settlement Strategy 2008'.
Have inconsistencies with if	ems a). b) and d) bei	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	, , , ,	
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)	
	(=)(=)	
Is mapping provided? Yes		
Comment :	zoning of the subje Department's stand	osal includes mapping that identifies the location and proposed ect land. Additional mapping prepared in accordance with the dard technical requirements for LEP maps in relation to zoning and rill need to be prepared prior to the legal drafting of the amendment.
Community consultation	on - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultation	n been proposed? Ye	S
Comment :	proposal. However relatively large num	ing authority has identified a 14 day exhibition period for the , as the proposal is partly on land zoned for recreation and is for a nber of lots (in relation to the size of Mullaway settlement), some n is likely. In these circumstances 28 days is appropriate.
Additional Director Ger	neral's requireme	nts
Are there any additional Dir	ector General's requi	rements? No
If Yes, reasons :		

[

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

	 Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and Providing a project time line.
	o. Froviding a project time line.
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the Planning Proposal deals with matters of only local significance, it is considered appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council, if the Planning Proposal is supported.
	Council has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for submission to the Department for finalisation in February 2015. However given the recommendation for extended commiunity consultation, a February completion date is optimistic. A 9 month completion time is recommended.
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 was made in September 2013.
Assessment Criteria	a
Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal has been initiated by the land owners who are seeking to develop the site for residential purposes. A concept subdivision plan for the site shows the land divided into 23 allotments. An existing dwelling is located on the subject land
	The Coffs Harbour City Council's settlement strategy identifies part of the subject land for urban investigation (residential purposes). The whole of the site is located within the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy growth boundary and is identified for future urban development.
	The land adjoins the existing village of Mullaway. Part of the land is zoned RU2 Rural Landscape with the remainder zoned RE1 Public Recreation. The public recreation zoning was carried over from the Coffs Harbour LEP 2000 after it and adjoining land was identified as a site for a possible future sports field by an Open Space Needs Study. In 2010,
	Council's Open Space Strategy found the land was not of a suitable size or configuration to achieve a sports field without significant incursion into the adjacent holding managed by the National Parks and Wildlife Service.
	The proposed amendment to the LEP is the most appropriate means of achieving the outcome for the Planning Proposal and will result in a community benefit through the creation of jobs during construction and land for residential use.

The subject land is identified for potential residential development under the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy and is within the Growth Boundary for Mullaway. Council's 'Our Living City' Settlement Strategy identifies the part of the land that is currently zoned RU2 Rural Landscape as an investigation area for residential purposes. The remainder of the site is identified as open space area but as discussed previously, Council has identified that this site is not appropriate for development as a sports field.

The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable SEPPs and section 117 Directions except the following;

1.5 Rural Lands

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land within an existing rural zone (RU2 Rural Landscpe). The objectives of this Direction are not relevant to this proposal as they aim to protect the agricultural production value of rural land and facilitate the orderly and economic development of rural lands. The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance due to the size of the land parcel involved and its proximity to existing urban areas.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils as shown on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps (Class 5). The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance due to the size of the land parcel involved, the minor nature of any potential excavation of the site and as this issue can be adequately addressed at the development application stage. Coffs Harbour LEP 2013 requires this to occur in any case.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is identified as bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved. The bushfire hazard of the subject land is significant but an assessment of the hazard indicates that the concept subdivision design for site can meet the relevant specifications and requirements of 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006'.

6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is identified as public open space. This Direction requires that a Planning Proposal must not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes without the approval of the relevant public authority and the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General). The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance due to the size of the land parcel involved and that Council has identified that land is not suitable for development as a sports field.

Environmental social economic impacts :

Consistency with

strategic planning framework :

The land is generally cleared with pockets of mature vegetation existing on site. A biodiversity assessment has been prepared which confirms that the site is occupied by a derived grassland community dominated by exotic or weed species that is degraded. Small areas of two native plan communities extend into the study area and there is potential for threatened species to utilise the limited resources available in the habitat. The assessment concludes that the Planning Proposal is unlikely to impact significantly on any threatened species or population.

A Bushfire Hazard Assessment has been prepared which confirms that the concept subdivision design can meet the relevant specifications and requirements of 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006' subject to recommendations. The recommendations include, but are not limited to:

- An 'Inner Protection Zone' as prescribed by the 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006' be a minimum distance of 30m from the northern boundary of the subject site;

- Water, electricity and gas supply services are to be installed underground; and

- The proposed fire trail on the northern boundary is to comply with 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006'.

It is recommended that the ensure compliance with S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection that this Bushfire Hazard Assessment, along with the Planning Proposal be referred to the NSW Rural Fire Service.

The land is not mapped as being flood prone.

Part of the site is identified on Council's LEP Maps as being Class 5 Acid sulfate soils. Issues with Acid Sulfate Soils can be considered at development application stage.

The site can be adequately serviced through proposed extensions to existing utility supplies.

Lot size and building height provisions are proposed to ensure development of the site is consistent with the surrounding land uses and visual character of the local area. The subject land has a current height of building limit of 8.5m that is not proposed to be changed.

The proposal will generate potential economic and social benefits, albeit minor given the size of the site, through job opportunities created during construction and assisting in meeting regional dwelling targets.

Assessment Process

D

2014-09-25 Cover Lette	er odf		Proposal Coverin	a Letter	Yes
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
cuments					e" (281)
If Yes, reasons :					
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastru	cture relevant	to this plan? No		
No internal consultation	on required				
Identify any internal cor		1:			
If Other, provide reasor	IS :				
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required. :				
If Yes, reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If no, provide reasons :					
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes			
and the second se	a sector se				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(d) :					
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)	Office of Environn NSW Rural Fire Se		tage		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
			Period :		
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation	28 Days	

2014-09-25 Council Report 2014-10-03 Location Map. 2014-09-25 Subdivision C ming Team Recomm	.pdf concept Plan.pdf	Proposal Proposal Map Map	Yes Yes Yes	
2014-10-03 Location Map. 2014-09-25 Subdivision C Inning Team Recommendation Preparation of the planning	pdf concept Plan.pdf endation g proposal supported at this stage :	Мар Мар	Yes	
2014-09-25 Subdivision C ning Team Recomme Preparation of the planning	endation g proposal supported at this stage :	Мар		
ning Team Recomm	endation g proposal supported at this stage :			
Preparation of the planning	g proposal supported at this stage :	Recommended with Conditions		
		Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones			
	1.5 Rural Lands			
	3.1 Residential Zones			
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra	nsport		
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect	lion		
	5.1 Implementation of Regional S			
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Pu	-		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:			
	1. The planning proposal be supported and proceed as a "routine" planning proposal.			
	2. Prior to public exhibition, Council amend Part 1 and 2 of the Planning Proposal to			
	clearly show that this Proposal includes both the rezoning of the land and the			
	amendment of the minimum lot s			
	3. The Planning Proposal be ext	nibited for 28 days.		
	4. The planning proposal be cor	npleted within 9 months.		
	5. The Director-General's delega			
	S117 Directions 1.5 Rural Lands		eserving Land for Public	
	Purposes are justified as of mine	or significance.		
	6. Consultation with the Office o	f Enviroinment and Heritage be u	ındertaken;	
		iral Fire Service be undertaken ir	accordance with S117	
	Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushf	ire Protection;		
	8. Draft LEP Maps prepared in a	ccordance with the Department's	standard technical	
		elation to zoning and minimum lo		
	prior to the legal drafting of the	amendment.		
	9. Delegation to finalise the Plan	ning Proposal be issued to the C	ouncil.	
Supporting Reasons :	This Planning Proposal is supported because it is consistent with Council's approved			
- short and a reasonant	local settlement strategy and the	e Mid North Coast Regional Strat rections are of minor significance	egy and inconsistencies	
		5		
	A			
Signature:	- pt			
(JIM CLARK			

